Introduced by Senator Hill

February 22, 2013

An act to amend Sections 305, 307, 308, 309.6, 1701.2, and 1701.3 of the Public Utilities Code, relating to the Public Utilities Commission.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 611, as introduced, Hill. Public Utilities Commission: organization: proceedings.

The California Constitution establishes the Public Utilities Commission, with jurisdiction over all public utilities, as defined. The California Constitution grants the commission certain general powers over all public utilities, subject to control by the Legislature, and authorizes the Legislature, unlimited by the other provisions of the California Constitution, to confer additional authority and jurisdiction upon the commission, that is cognate and germane to the regulation of public utilities. Existing law requires the Governor to designate the president of the commission from among its members and requires the president to direct the executive director, the attorney, and other staff of the commission, except for the Division of Ratepayer Advocates, in accordance with commission policies and guidelines.

The bill would repeal the requirement that the president direct the executive director, attorney, and other staff of the commission.

Existing law authorizes the attorney for the commission, if directed to do so by the president, except as otherwise directed by vote of the commission, to intervene, if possible, in any action or proceeding involving any question arising pursuant to the Public Utilities Act. Existing law requires the attorney for the commission to commence, prosecute, and expedite the final determination of all actions and proceedings, and to generally perform all duties and services as attorney

SB 611 -2-

to the commission, as directed or authorized by the president, except as otherwise directed or authorized by vote of the commission.

This bill would authorize the attorney for the commission, if directed to do so by the commission, to intervene, if possible, in any action or proceeding involving any question arising pursuant to the Public Utilities Act. This bill would require the attorney for the commission to commence, prosecute, and expedite the final determination of all actions and proceedings, and to generally perform all duties and services as attorney to the commission, as directed or authorized by the commission.

Existing law requires the executive director for the commission to keep a full and true record of all proceedings of the commission, issue all necessary process, writs, warrants, and notices, and perform such other duties as the president, or vote of the commission, prescribes. Existing law provides that the president may authorize the executive director to dismiss complaints or applications when all parties are in agreement thereto, in accordance with rules that the commission may prescribe.

This bill would require the executive director to keep a full and true record of all proceedings of the commission, issue all necessary process, writs, warrants, and notices, and perform the other duties the commission prescribes. The bill would provide that the commission may authorize the executive director to dismiss complaints or applications when all parties are in agreement thereto, in accordance with rules that the commission may prescribe.

The California Constitution authorizes the commission to establish its own procedures, subject to statutory limitations or directions and constitutional requirements of due process. Existing law provides for the appointment of administrative law judges and the assigning of commissioners to preside over cases before the commission and requires the commission, to adopt procedures on the disqualification of administrative law judges due to bias or prejudice similar to those of other state agencies and superior courts.

This bill would require the commission to additionally adopt procedures on the disqualification of commissioners due to bias or prejudice similar to those of other state agencies and superior courts.

Existing law establishes certain procedures that are applicable to adjudication, rulemaking and ratesetting cases. Existing law requires the commission, by regulation, to provide for peremptory challenges and challenges for cause of an assigned administrative law judge in adjudication and ratesetting cases and entitles parties to unlimited

-3-SB 611

peremptory challenges in any case in which the administrative law judge has, within the previous 12 months, served in any capacity in an advocacy position at the commission, been employed by a regulated public utility, or has represented a party or has been a party of interest in the case.

This bill would require the commission, by rule, to provide for peremptory challenges and challenges for cause of an assigned administrative law judge or assigned commissioner in adjudication and ratesetting cases and entitles parties to unlimited peremptory challenges in any case in which the administrative law judge or assigned commissioner has, within the previous 12 months, served in any capacity in an advocacy position at the commission, been employed by a regulated public utility, or has represented a party or has been a party of interest in the case. The bill would prohibit an officer, employee, or agent of the commission that is assigned to assist in the prosecution of, or to testify in, an adjudication case, from participating in the decision of the case, or in the decision of any factually related proceeding. The bill would permit an officer, employee, or agent of the commission that is assigned to assist in the prosecution of an adjudication case to participate in reaching a settlement of the case, but would prohibit the officer, employee, or agent from participating in the decision of the commission to accept or reject the settlement, except as a witness or counsel in an open hearing or a specified closed hearing.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- 1 SECTION 1. Section 305 of the Public Utilities Code is
- 2 amended to read: 3 The Governor shall designate a president of the 305.
- 4 commission from among the members of the commission. The
- president shall direct the executive director, the attorney, and other
- 6 staff of the commission, except for the staff of the division 7 described in Section 309.5, in the performance of their duties, in
- 8 accordance with commission policies and guidelines. The president
- 9 shall preside at all meetings and sessions of the commission.
- 10 SEC. 2. Section 307 of the Public Utilities Code is amended 11 to read:

SB 611 —4—

307. (a) The commission may appoint as attorney to the commission an attorney at law of this state, who shall hold office during the pleasure of the commission.

- (b) The attorney shall represent and appear for the people of the State of California and the commission in all actions and proceedings involving any question under this part or under any order or act of the commission. If directed to do so by the president, except as otherwise directed by vote of the commission, the attorney shall intervene, if possible, in any action or proceeding in which any such question is involved.
- (c) The Except as provided in Section 1701.2, the attorney shall commence, prosecute, and expedite the final determination of all actions and proceedings directed or authorized by the president, except as otherwise directed or authorized by vote of the commission, advise the commission and each commissioner, when so requested, in regard to all matters in connection with the powers and duties of the commission and the members thereof, and generally perform all duties and services as attorney to the commission that the president, or vote of the commission, may require of him.
- SEC. 3. Section 308 of the Public Utilities Code is amended to read:
- 308. (a) The commission shall appoint an executive director, who shall hold office during its pleasure. The executive director shall be responsible for the commission's executive and administrative duties and shall organize, coordinate, supervise, and direct the operations and affairs of the commission and expedite all matters within the commission's jurisdiction.
- (b) The executive director shall keep a full and true record of all proceedings of the commission, issue all necessary process, writs, warrants, and notices, and perform such other duties as-the president, or vote of the commission, prescribes. The president commission may authorize the executive director to dismiss complaints or applications when all parties are in agreement thereto, in accordance with rules that the commission may prescribe.
- (c) The commission may appoint assistant executive directors who may serve warrants and other process in any county or city and county of this state.

5 SB 611

SEC. 4. Section 309.6 of the Public Utilities Code is amended to read:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

2728

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

- 309.6. (a) The commission shall adopt procedures on the disqualification of administrative law judges *and commissioners* due to bias or prejudice similar to those of other state agencies and superior courts.
- (b) The commission shall develop the procedures with the opportunity for public review and comment.
- SEC. 5. Section 1701.2 of the Public Utilities Code is amended to read:

1701.2. (a) If the commission pursuant to Section 1701.1 has determined that an adjudication case requires a hearing, the procedures prescribed by this section shall be applicable. The assigned commissioner or the assigned administrative law judge shall hear the case in the manner described in the scoping memo. The scoping memo shall designate whether the assigned commissioner or the assigned administrative law judge shall preside in the case. The commission shall provide by regulation rule for peremptory challenges and challenges for cause of the administrative law judge or assigned commissioner. Challenges for cause shall include, but not be limited to, financial interests and prejudice. The regulation rule shall provide that all parties are entitled to one peremptory challenge of the assignment of the administrative law judge and one peremptory challenge of the assigned commissioner in all cases. All parties are entitled to unlimited peremptory challenges in any case in which the administrative law judge or the assigned commissioner has within the previous 12 months served in any capacity in an advocacy position at the commission, been employed by a regulated public utility, or has represented a party or has been a party of interest in the case. The assigned commissioner or the administrative law judge shall prepare and file a decision setting forth recommendations, findings, and conclusions. The decision shall be filed with the commission and served upon all parties to the action or proceeding without undue delay, not later than 60 days after the matter has been submitted for decision. The decision of the assigned commissioner or the administrative law judge shall become the decision of the commission if no further action is taken within 30 days. Any interested party may appeal the decision to the commission, provided that the appeal is made within 30 days

SB 611 -6-

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

of the issuance of the decision. The commission may itself initiate a review of the proposed decision on any grounds. The commission decision shall be based on the record developed by the assigned commissioner or the administrative law judge. A decision different from that of the assigned commissioner or the administrative law judge shall be accompanied by a written explanation of each of the changes made to the decision.

(b) No officer, employee, or agent of the commission that is assigned to assist in the prosecution of, or to testify in, an adjudication case, shall participate in the decision of the case, or in the decision of any factually related proceeding, including participation in or advising the commission as to findings of fact, conclusions of law, or orders. An officer, employee, or agent of the commission that is assigned to assist in the prosecution of an adjudication case may participate in reaching a settlement of the case, but shall not participate in the decision of the commission to accept or reject the settlement, except as a witness or counsel in an open hearing or a hearing closed pursuant to subdivision (d). The Legislature finds that the commission performs both prosecutorial and adjudicatory functions in an adjudication case and declares its intent that an officer, employee, or agent of the commission may perform only one of those functions in any adjudication case, or factually related proceeding.

(h)

(c) Ex parte communications shall be prohibited in adjudication cases.

(e)

(d) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the commission may meet in a closed hearing to consider the decision that is being appealed. The vote on the appeal shall be in a public meeting and shall be accompanied with an explanation of the appeal decision.

(d)

(e) Adjudication cases shall be resolved within 12 months of initiation unless the commission makes findings why that deadline cannot be met and issues an order extending that deadline. In the event that a rehearing of an adjudication case is granted the parties shall have an opportunity for final oral argument.

38 (e)

7 SB 611

(f) (1) The commission may determine that the respondent lacks, or may lack, the ability to pay potential penalties or fines or to pay restitution that may be ordered by the commission.

- (2) If the commission determines that a respondent lacks, or may lack, the ability to pay, the commission may order the respondent to demonstrate, to the satisfaction of the commission, sufficient ability to pay potential penalties, fines, or restitution that may be ordered by the commission. The respondent shall demonstrate the ability to pay, or make other financial arrangements satisfactory to the commission, within seven days of the commission commencing an adjudication case. The commission may delegate to the attorney to the commission the determination of whether a sufficient showing has been made by the respondent of an ability to pay.
- (3) Within seven days of the commission's determination of the respondent's ability to pay potential penalties, fines, or restitution, the respondent shall be entitled to an impartial review by an administrative law judge, of the sufficiency of the showing made by the respondent of the respondent's ability to pay. The review by an administrative law judge of the ability of the respondent to pay shall become part of the record of the adjudication and is subject to the commission's consideration in its order resolving the adjudication case. The administrative law judge may enter temporary orders modifying any financial requirement made of the respondent pending the review by the administrative law judge.
- (4) A respondent that is a public utility regulated under a rate-of-return or rate-of-margin regulatory structure or that has gross annual revenues of more than one hundred million dollars (\$100,000,000) generated within California is presumed to be able to pay potential penalties or fines or to pay restitution that may be ordered by the commission, and, therefore, paragraphs (1) to (3), inclusive, do not apply to that respondent.
- SEC. 6. Section 1701.3 of the Public Utilities Code is amended to read:
- 1701.3. (a) If the commission pursuant to Section 1701.1 has determined that a ratesetting case requires a hearing, the procedures prescribed by this section shall be applicable. The assigned commissioner shall determine prior to the first hearing whether the commissioner or the assigned administrative law judge shall be designated as the principal hearing officer. The principal hearing

-8-

officer shall be present for more than one-half of the hearing days. The decision of the principal hearing officer shall be the proposed decision. An alternate decision may be issued by the assigned commissioner or the assigned administrative law judge who is not the principal hearing officer. The commission shall establish a procedure for any party to request the presence of a commissioner at a hearing. The assigned commissioner shall be present at the closing arguments of the case. The principal hearing officer shall present the proposed decision to the full commission in a public meeting. The alternate decision, if any, shall also be presented to the full commission at that public meeting. The alternate decision shall be filed with the commission and shall be served on all parties simultaneously with the proposed decision.

The presentation to the full commission shall contain a record of the number of days of the hearing, the number of days that each commissioner was present, and whether the decision was completed on time.

- (b) The commission shall provide by—regulation rule for peremptory challenges and challenges for cause of the administrative law judge or the assigned commissioner. Challenges for cause shall include, but not be limited to, financial interests and prejudice. All parties shall be entitled to unlimited peremptory challenges in any case in which the administrative law judge or assigned commissioner has, within the previous 12 months, served in any capacity in an advocacy position at the commission, been employed by a regulated public utility, or has represented a party or has been a party of interest in the case.
- (c) Ex parte communications are prohibited in ratesetting cases. However, oral ex parte communications may be permitted at any time by any commissioner if all interested parties are invited and given not less than three days' notice. Written ex parte communications may be permitted by any party provided that copies of the communication are transmitted to all parties on the same day. If an ex parte communication meeting is granted to any party, all other parties shall also be granted individual ex parte meetings of a substantially equal period of time and shall be sent a notice of that authorization at the time that the request is granted. In no event shall that notice be less than three days. The commission may establish a period during which no oral or written ex parte communications shall be permitted and may meet in closed

-9- SB 611

session during that period, which shall not in any circumstance exceed 14 days. If the commission holds the decision, it may permit ex parte communications during the first half of the interval between the hold date and the date that the decision is calendared for final decision. The commission may meet in closed session for the second half of that interval.

1 2

- (d) Any party has the right to present a final oral argument of its case before the commission. Those requests shall be scheduled in a timely manner. A quorum of the commission shall be present for the final oral arguments.
- (e) The commission may, in issuing its decision, adopt, modify, or set aside the proposed decision or any part of the decision based on evidence in the record. The final decision of the commission shall be issued not later than 60 days after the issuance of the proposed decision. Under extraordinary circumstances the commission may extend this date for a reasonable period. The 60-day period shall be extended for 30 days if any alternate decision is proposed pursuant to Section 311.